Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences

ijbms.mums.ac.ir

In vivo blockade of 5HT3 receptors in the infralimbic medial prefrontal cortex enhances fear extinction in a rat model of PTSD

Ahmad Mohammadi-Farani^{1, 2*}, Mahdi Taghadosi³, Sara Raziee⁴, Zahra Samimi³

¹Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Centre, Health Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

² Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

³ Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

⁴ Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

ARTICLEINFO	ABSTRACT	
<i>Article type:</i> Original article	Objective(s): Treatments that reverse deficits in fear extinction are promising for the management of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). 5-Hydroxytryptamine type 3 (5-HT3) receptor is involved involved in the extinction of fear memories. The present work aims to investigate the role of 5HT3 receptors in the infralimbic part of the medial prefrontal cortex (IL-mPFC) in extinction of conditioned fear in the single prolonged stress (SPS) model of PTSD in rats.	
<i>Article history:</i> Received: Dec 16, 2020 Accepted: Apr 27, 2021		
Keywords: Fear extinction Infralimbic medial - prefrontal cortex PTSD Single prolonged stress 5HT3 receptor	<i>Materials and Methods:</i> The effect of SPS administration was evaluated on the freezing behavior in contextual and cued fear conditioning models. After the behavioral tests, levels of 5HT3 transcription in IL-mPFC were also measured in the same animals using the real-time RT-PCR method. To evaluate the possible role of local 5HT3 receptors on fear extinction, conditioned freezing was evaluated in another cohort of animals that received local microinjections of ondansetron (a 5HT3 antagonist) and ondansetron plus a 5HT3 agonist (SR 57227A) after extinction sessions. <i>Results:</i> Our findings showed that exposure to SPS increased the freezing response in both contextual and cued fear models. We also found that SPS is associated with increased expression of 5HT3 receptors in the IL-mPFC region. Ondansetron enhanced the fear of extinction in these animals and the enhancement was blocked by the 5HT3 agonist SR 57227A	
	<i>Conclusion:</i> It seems that up-regulation of 5HT3 receptors in IL-mPFC is an important factor in the neurobiology of PTSD and blockade of these receptors could be considered a potential treatment for this condition.	
► Please cite this article as:		

Mohammadi-Farani A, Taghadosi M, Raziee S, Samimi Z. *In vivo* blockade of 5HT3 receptors in the infralimbic medial prefrontal cortex enhances fear extinction in a rat model of PTSD. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2021; 24:776-786. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2021.54299.12197

Introduction

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric condition that is believed to be related to impaired control of fear emotions (1). Fear conditioning is a kind of associative learning paradigm that is widely used for studying fear-related responses in animals (2). In this model, subjects learn to associate a conditioned stimulus (CS), such as a context (training chamber) or a tone (cue), with an unconditioned fear-inducing stimulus (US), such as an electrical foot shock. Later if the animals are exposed to CS alone, they show fear responses that are normally elicited by US. Repeated reexposure to CS without US gradually alleviates the fear response. This phenomenon is called "fear extinction" (3). Extinction memory is a newly formed memory that competes with the previous fearful memory. Its formation requires complex neural circuits mainly involving three brain regions; the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), hippocampus (HPC), and amygdala (4). It is strongly believed that deficits occurring in the extinction process are the underlying cause of PTSD. Therefore, any drug that is capable of weakening the traumatic memories or reinforcing the extinction of conditioned fear in animal models would be promising in the treatment of PTSD (5-9).

The role of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5HT) and the serotonergic system is largely investigated in conditioning and extinction processes. Different 5HT receptors such as 5HT1A (10-12), 5HT2A (13), 5HT2C (14), 5HT3 (15-17), and newly described serotonin receptors like 5-HT6, 5-HT7, and 5-HT5A (18) are found to play a role.

IJ MS

The 5-HT3 receptor is an ionotropic receptor consisting of two subunits, 5-HT3A and 5-HT3B. The 5-HT3A subunit is essential to receptor function (19). Radio-ligand binding and in situ hybridization techniques have confirmed the presence of 5HT3A receptors in brain areas involved in the extinction process (20, 21). Previous research shows that central 5HT3 receptors are involved in the formation of mental conditions like depression (22, 23), anxiety (24, 25), memory disturbances (26-29), and social-behavioral disorders (30). Recently it is shown that 5HT3 receptors contribute to brain processes involved in the extinction of fearful memories (16). Accordingly, data from 5HT3 knockout mice revealed that presence of the 5-HT3A receptor is necessary for the extinction of contextual and cued fear (15). The exact sites and mechanism of action of these receptors in fear extinction are not determined yet.

^{*}Corresponding author: Ahmad Mohammadi-Farani. Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. Tel: +98-8314276493; Fax: +98-8334265783; Email: amohammadifa@kums.ac.ir; ahmadmohammady@yahoo.com

The infra-limbic medial prefrontal cortex (IL-mPFC) is a cortical region that has a central role in the suppression of fear in rodents (31). Like other parts of mPFC, it contains high levels of 5HT3 receptors (32). As far as we know, there is no previous research on the role of 5HT3 receptors in IL-mPFC on extinction modulation in animal models. Our main goal in this study is to evaluate the effect of infra-limbic administration of ondansetron (as a 5HT3 antagonist) on extinction learning in the single prolonged stress (SPS) model of PTSD. We will use contextual and cued fear conditioning models in our research. Further behavioral experiments like the elevated plus maze (EPM) and open field (OF) tests are also performed for better interpretation of the results.

There is evidence that altered transcription of 5HT3 receptors may increase the vulnerability of animals to anxiety disorders (33, 34). In humans, changes in the regulation of the 5HT3A subunit have been described in psychiatric conditions like bipolar disorders (35). Based on the above we hypothesized that changes in the regulation of 5-HT3 receptors in IL-mPFC may be involved in the modulation of fear symptoms in PTSD. Therefore, in our experiments, we also evaluated the possible differences in the expression ratio of the 5HT3A receptor in mPFC of normal vs SPS rats.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male adult Wistar rats (180–250 g) were used in the experiments. Animals were housed 5 per cage and maintained on a 12 hr light/dark cycle at an almost fixed temperature (22 °C). They had free access to food and water. All experiments were done in accordance with the Institutional Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory animals (Ethical code, IR.KUMS.REC.1397.048).

Drugs

SR 57227A (5-HT3 agonist) and Ondansetron (5-HT3 antagonist) were obtained from sigma- Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). Drugs were dissolved and diluted in 0.9% saline solution. They were injected in a volume of 0.5 μ l per side at a rate of 0.5 μ l/min.

Single prolonged stress procedure

The SPS procedure was performed as described elsewhere (36). Briefly, rats were restrained in a plastic restrainer for 2 hr. Then they were immediately put into a water bath (circular; 60 cm diameter, 35 cm depth, and 25 °C) for a 20 min forced swim period. After the swim, they were towel-dried and put to rest for fifteen minutes. In the final step, rats were exposed to diethyl ether until loss of consciousness, and then they were returned to their home cages.

Stereotaxic surgery

Rats were anesthetized with a cocktail of ketamine and xylazine (30 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg, respectively) and then, they were fixed in a stereotaxic instrument (WPI, berlin, Germany). A stainless-steel guide cannula (10 mm long, 22 gauge) was implanted bilaterally in the rat skull so that the tip of the cannula was located 1 mm above the medial prefrontal cortex. The cannula was fixed on the skull with dental cement. mPFC was positioned (AP: +3 mm from bregma, ML: ±0.8 mm, DV: -4 mm) according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (37). Correct implantation of the cannula was confirmed by injection of 1 μ l methylene blue (5% in saline) in the IL-mPFC of random samples.

Conditioning apparatus

We used a slightly modified Ugo Basile (model 46002) fear conditioning apparatus to study the contextual and cued fear in rats. The system was controlled by AnyMaze software (Version 2.1; Ugo Basile). The conditioning box (context A) was a bottomless Plexiglas container (26×26×30 cm) which was enclosed inside a bigger soundproof chamber. The chamber contained a stainless steel electrified grid floor to deliver the unconditioned stimulus (US), a flashlight to deliver the conditioned stimulus (CS), a noiseless fan to provide fresh air, and a dimmed LED bulb to sustain the basal lighting. A video was recorded by a camera above the apparatus. This video was replayed by a blind observer and the time spent in the freezing state was determined. Freezing was recorded and defined as absence of movement lasting more than 1 sec, and was calculated according to the following formula: Percent freezing = total time freezing \times 100 / total time of training)

Conditioning and extinction tests

The conditioning and extinction procedures were performed according to Kumari et al. (38) with some modifications (Figure 1). Behavioral tests were started one week after SPS administration. On day -1, for habituation of the animals, rats were put in the apparatus in two sessions, 10 min each. On day 0, a conditioning session was started by putting the rats in context A of the apparatus. Here they received 7 trials of CS, 30 sec flashing house light (500 ms on/off, 8 lx), which was co-terminated with US, a foot-shock of 0.66 mA for 2 sec. The interval between each trial varied from 1 to 2 min. After 24 hr contextual fear extinction was tested by putting the animals back in the training box (context A) for a period of 5 min with no CS or US being applied. Freezing of rats was scored in this time interval. Cued fear extinction was tested in a different context (context B). It was cubical with a different size, wall texture, floor, and odor (mint extract). After 5 min of habituation, a 6.5 min test session started through which animals were presented with CS 5 times (no



Figure 1. Fear conditioning tests included different phases of habituation (context A), fear conditioning training (context A), and contextual and cued fear conditioning tests (context A or B)



MS

D

Figure 2. Timeline of behavioral and molecular experiments: a) experiments without drug treatment, b) experiments with drug treatment. A; Context A, B; Context b, EPM: Elevated plus maze test; D; day, OF: Open field test; SPS: Single prolonged stress

US was used in this session). Here, the total time of freezing was measured during each CS presentation. Isopropyl alcohol was used to clean the box before each experiment. A video was recorded in each test session and the freezing behavior was scored manually by a blind experimenter who watched the off-line films. The timeline for the acquisition and extinction experiments is represented in Figure 2.

Experimental groups

Two sets of experiments were conducted in this research. In the first set, the aim was to evaluate contextual and cued fear extinction in shocked, SPS, and control groups (Figure 2A). Three groups of rats were used in contextual and three in cued conditioning procedures (n=8 in each group). All groups were exposed to context A for habituation. The training tests were performed on four consecutive days. The following groups were used in the contextual paradigm: a) A control group that did not experience the SPS procedure and did not go through the shock step but underwent the training tests, b) A shock group that was similar to the control group except that they experienced the shock step 24 hr before the first extinction test, c) An SPS-group that underwent the SPS procedure one week before receiving the shock. The shock step was similar to the conditioning procedure described above. In the contextual paradigm, the training tests were held in context A of the conditioning apparatus. For the cued conditioning paradigm, three similar groups (n=8) underwent the same procedures, similar to the contextual design, except that the extinction tests were performed in a different context (context B).

The second set of experiments was meant to investigate the effect of a 5HT3 antagonist in the extinction of acquired fear (Figure 2B). Here again, three groups of rats were used for contextual and three for cued extinction tests. Habituation and conditioning were performed in context A for all groups. The control (vehicle) group in the contextual paradigm was conditioned 1 week after SPS administration. Twenty-four hours later, the first extinction training session was performed and it was repeated for 4 days. Immediately after each training, except on the fourth day, rats received microinjection (0.5 μ l each side) of the vehicle. The other two groups went through the same procedures

except that one group was injected, bilaterally, with ondansetron $(2 \ \mu M/0.5 \ \mu l)$ and the other received R57227A $(3 \ \mu M/0.5 \ \mu l)$ plus ondansetron $(2 \ \mu M/0.5 \ \mu l)$ instead of the vehicle. Doses of the drugs were chosen based on our pilot study and previous studies using local injection of the drugs (17). SR57227A was administered 15 min prior to ondansetron. In the contextual paradigm context A was used for the training tests. The effect of drug treatment on cued fear extinction was evaluated in three other corresponding groups. The behavioral tests were similar to the contextual extinction groups except that the extinction tests were performed in context B of the conditioning apparatus.

Elevated plus maze test

The elevated plus-maze was a wooden structure made of two crossed open arms and two closed arms $(50 \times 12 \text{ cm})$ with closed arms having 35-cm-high black walls on both sides. The maze was established 50 cm above the ground. EPM was carried out the day after the last training session (Figure 2B). Experiments were performed 2 hr after rats were acclimatized to the room. The rats were put in the middle square of the maze facing an open arm. They were allowed to explore the maze for 10 min. After each test, the maze was cleaned with 70% ethanol. The behavior of the rat was recorded by a video tracking system (EthoVision XT8 video tracking system, Noldus, Netherlands). Behavioral measures were i) time spent in the open and closed arms ii) number of entries into the open and closed arms. Entry to an arm was defined as entering each arm with the four paws in that arm. Percentage of open arm time (OAT%) and open arm entry (OAE) were calculated as follows; OAT%= Time spent in the open arms \times 100/ Time spent in all arms, OAE%= Entries into the open arm × 100/ Entries into all arms.

Open field test

The open-field test was designed in accordance with the procedures described by Salehabadi *et al.* (39). One day after the last training trial, rats were placed in an open square (50*50*35) box. The floor was divided into 25 squares which were monitored by infrared beams. Square crossings, the number of crossings of animals in different squares, were counted as an index for locomotor activity. The test duration was 5 min.

Table 1. Primer sequences used in RT-PCR

Gene		Primer sequence
5HT3A	Forward	5'-ctc-ccc-tca-ttg-gtg-tct-ac-3'
	Reverse	5'-cgc-tgt-aaa-tcc-tgc-tta-tgc-3'
GAPDH	Forward	5'-tac-cag-ggc-tgc-ctt-ctc-ttg-3'
	Reverse	5´-gga-tct-cgc-tcc-tgg-aag-atg-3´

Real-time PCR

One day after the last behavioral experiment, animals were decapitated, the brains were removed and the infralimbic part of the medial prefrontal cortices was isolated for PCR experiments. Total RNA was extracted from the frozen samples of IL-mPFC using the High Pure Tissue RNA Extraction Kit (Roche Applied Science, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan) was used to make cDNAs from the RNA. Amplification of cDNA was performed in a StepOnePlus real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the internal standard. The primer sequences for 5HT3A and the internal standard are shown in Table 1. The total volume of the reaction was 25 μ l which contained 1 µl of each primer, 12.5 µl of Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix 2X (applied biosystems, CA, USA), 1.5 μ l of the template, and 9 μ l PCR grade water. The holding stage (95 °C for 15 min) was followed by the cycling stage (denaturation 30 sec in 95 °C, annealing 30 sec 60 °C, extention 30 sec in 72 °C) and the number of cycles was 45. Expression levels of 5HT-3A were compared between control and SPS rats using the 2-DACt method (40).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Changes in the percentage of freezing in the extinction tests were compared by a two-way repeated measure (RM) ANOVA with day and group as the main factors. Results of EPM, OF, and PCR experiments were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Tukey *post hoc* analysis was performed to detect the significance of differences between groups. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM and *P*-values<0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Effects of SPS and shock on contextual and cued extinction

To find the possible SPS-induced effects in the process of extinction learning, experiments were performed in contextual and cued fear extinction models in SPS, shocked, and vehicle-treated rats. Figure 3 shows the percentage of time spent freezing during the four days of extinction learning in these tests. Statistical analysis revealed that there was a significant difference in freezing time between different days and groups in contextual model [Two-way RM ANOVA: day; F (3, 63) = 35.4, P<0.0001, group; F (2, 21) = 43.03, P<0.0001, interaction; F (6, 63) = 4.34, P=0.001]. Post hoc tests



Figure 3. Role of SPS or shock on extinction learning in rats. Two cohorts of animals were used in these experiments and each cohort consisted of three groups. Animals in the contextual cohort (A) were pretreated in context A and trained in the same context for 4 consecutive days. The cued cohort (B) was put in context A for pretreatment procedures but was trained in another context (context B). Animals in the control group neither experience SPS nor received electrical foot shocks before the extinction training tests. The shock group received the Conditioning foot-shocks before training but was not exposed to the SPS procedure. The SPS group went through the SPS phase one week before starting the experiments and received the shocks. Data are presented as Mean \pm SEM. ** *P*<0.01, **** *P*<0.001 as compared with control group. # *P*<0.05, ## *P*<0.01, ### *P*<0.001 as compared with the shock group SPS: Single prolonged stress

showed that in the first three days of learning, the SPS group had an increased freezing response compared with the control group (P<0.0001). Comparison of the group means indicated that SPS rats tend to have the highest freezing time compared with other groups (Figure 3A).

In the cued extinction model, there was not a significant effect for the main factor of day [Two-way RM ANOVA: day; F (3, 63) = 2.05, P=0.11] but freezing differed between different groups [Two-way RM ANOVA: group; F (2, 21) = 39.49, P<0.0001]. Indeed, there was not a significant interaction between the two main factors [Two-way RM ANOVA: interaction; F (6, 63) = 0.80, P=0.56] (Figure 3B). Similar to the contextual model, animals in the SPS group had a higher freezing time compared with other groups. The results of the contextual and cued models indicate that regardless of the model of extinction, SPS rats have increased fear compared with the control group.

Effects of 5HT3 antagonist on contextual and cued extinction in SPS model

Earlier results showed that SPS rats have a deficient



Figure 4. Role of 5HT3 receptor antagonist (ondansetron) in extinction learning in SPS rats. Two cohorts of animals were used in these experiments and each cohort consisted of three groups. All animals went through the SPS procedure one week before the start of the experiments. Habituation and conditioning took place in context A. Training was performed in context A for the contextual cohort (a) and context B for the cued cohort (b). The control group received intra-mPFC microinjections of the vehicle after each training session. The other two groups received either ondansetron or a 5HT3 agonist (SR 57227A) after the training sessions. Data are presented as Mean \pm SEM. ** *P*<0.01, **** *P*<0.0001 as compared with the SPS group Ond: Ondansetron; SPS: Single prolonged stress; SR: SR 57227A

learning ability in the extinction learning tests (Figure 3). To evaluate the effects of 5HT3 receptors on the consolidation of extinction memory vehicle (0.5 µl), ondansetron (2 μ M/0.5 μ l) and ondansetron plus SR 57227A (3 μ M/0.5 μ l) were infused bilaterally into IL-mPFC of separate groups of SPS rats immediately after each extinction session. The tests were performed in the contextual and cued models (Figure 4). There was a significant effect for the main factors of day and treatment in the contextual [Two-way RM ANOVA, day: F (3, 63) = 88.52, P<0.0001. treatment: F (2, 21) = 8.53, P=0.001. interaction: F (6, 63) = 7.81, P<0.0001] and cued [Two-way RM ANOVA, day: F (3, 63) = 21.72, P<0.0001. treatment: F (2, 21) = 5.87, P=0.009. interaction: F (6, (63) = 5.12, P = 0.0002 paradigms (Figure 4). In the contextual model ondansetron decreased freezing in the third and fourth days of the tests compared with the control group (P<0.0001, P<0.01, respectively). This effect was reversed by co-administration of SR 57227A (5HT3 antagonist) with ondansetron (Figure 4A). Results of the cued model were similar to the contextual model in that freezing was decreased in ondansetron treated rats in the third and fourth days of the experiments (P<0.0001, P<0.001, respectively), and co-treatment with SR 57227A blocked this effect (Figure 4B).



Figure 5. Effect of SPS or shock on anxiety level in the EPM test. Anxiety was tested one day after the last training session in two cohorts of rats. Each cohort consisted of three groups including a control group, a shock group, and an SPS group. The first cohort had experienced the contextual extinction tests (a) and the second cohort had gone under the cued extinction experiments (b) before the EPM tests. Time spent in the open arms and entries into the open arms were considered as indices for anxiety. Data are shown as Mean±SEM. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001 as compared with the control group. SPS: Single prolonged stress

Effect of SPS and shock on the elevated plus-maze

The elevated plus-maze test was used to study the effects of shock and SPS on anxiety levels in the animals. The test was carried out one day after the last day of training in contextual and cued layouts (Figure 5). The percentage of time spent and the percentage of entries into the open arms were considered as common anxiety indices. A one way ANOVA revealed significant differences in "time spent in the open arms" and "entries into the open arms" in groups that underwent contextual [Time; F (2, 21) = 3.84, P=0.03, Entry; F (2, 21) = 14.17, P=0.0001] or cued [Time; F (2, 21) = 9.11, P=0.001, Entry; F (2, 21) = 15.6, P<0.0001] extinction training. As depicted in Figure 5, in both extinction models, SPS rats who were exposed to shock spent less time (contextual model; P<0.05, cued model; P<0.01) in the open arms of the maze and had fewer entries (contextual model; P<0.001, cued model; P<0.001) into the open arms. Although treatment of normal rats with shock tended to reduce the exploration time in the open arms, it was not statistically significant (P>0.05)

IJ**B**MS





Treatment groups

Figure 6. Effect of SPS and shock on locomotor activity in the open-field apparatus. The total square crossing was measured in the open field test in two cohorts of rats. The contextual cohort groups were tried on the contextual extinction tests before the open field experiments and consisted of a control, a shocked, and an SPS group (a). The cued cohort had the same corresponding groups and had experienced the cued extinction sessions (b). Data are displayed as Mean±SEM SPS: Single prolonged stress

compared with the control group (Figures 5A, B). Overall these results indicate that the shock-treated SPS group had increased levels of anxiety compared with normal rats and presentation of shock alone could not change the anxiety levels in the animals.

Effect of SPS and shock on open field test

To rule out the possible confounding effects of locomotion on anxiety indices in the EPM test, we evaluated the locomotor activity of non-drug-treated rats in the OF test one day after the last training session. The results show that there is not a significant difference between different groups in contextual [F (2, 21) = 1.13, P=0.33] (Figure 6A) or cued [F (2, 21) = 0.33, P=0.71] (Figure 6B) models. These results indicate that any change recorded in the EPM test is not due to motor function disturbances.

Effects of 5HT3 antagonist on the elevated plus-maze in the SPS model

As shown in the previous results (Figure 5), SPS

rats that were exposed to the shock experience of the conditioning phase, had increased anxiety compared with the normal rats. This part of the experiments was performed to find if ondansetron could change the anxiety levels in these rats. Animals treated with vehicle, ondansetron $(2 \mu M/0.5 \mu l)$ or ondansetron plus SR57227A ($3 \mu M/0.5 \mu l$), were examined in the EPM test 24 hr after the last extinction training day (see Figure 2B). The EPM data indicated that there is no significant difference between groups in the time spent in open arms or arm entries for both contextual and cued models [Contextual, Time: F (2, 21) = 0.54, P=0.58, Entries: F (2, 21) = 0.43, P=0.65; Cued, Time: F (2, 21) = 1.17, P=0.32, Entries: F (2, 21) = 0.69, P=0.51] (Figures 7A, B). This simply means that antagonism of 5HT3 receptors in ILmPFC is ineffective in relieving SPS rats from increased anxiety in the course of experiments.

Effects of 5HT3 antagonist on the open field test in the SPS model

Drug-treated SPS rats were evaluated for their motor function in the OF test one day after the extinction training experiments. Treatments [ondansetron (2



rieauneni gioups

Figure 7. Effect of 5HT3 receptor antagonism on EPM test in SPS rats. Anxiety was tested one day after the last training session in contextual (a) and cued (b) cohorts of rats. Each cohort consisted of three groups. The first group was an SPS group that received intramPFC microinjections of the vehicle after each training session. The second group was injected with ondansetron (5HT3 antagonist), and the third group was treated with both ondansetron and SR 57227A (5HT3 agonist) after the training sessions. The contextual cohort had gone under contextual extinction tests and the cued cohort had experienced the cued extinction experiments before the EPM tests. Data are expressed as Mean±SEM

Ond; Ondansetron, SPS: Single prolonged stress, SR: SR 57227A





Figure 8. Effect of ondansetron on locomotor activity in the open field apparatus in SPS rats. Total square crossing was measured as the locomotor index. Two cohorts of animals were tested 24 hr after the last extinction test. The contextual cohort groups had previously passed the contextual extinction tests and consisted of SPS, ondansetron, and ondansetron plus SR 57227A (5HT3 agonist) groups (a). The cued cohort groups had the same corresponding groups and had experienced the cued extinction sessions (b). Data are displayed as Mean±SEM

Ond: Ondansetron, SPS: Single prolonged stress, SR: SR 57227A

 μ M/0.5 μ l) and ondansetron plus SR 57227A (3 μ M/0.5 μ l)] made no changes in the number of crossings between different groups that experienced contextual (Figure 8A) or cued (Figure 8B) extinction procedures [Contextual; F (2, 21) = 1.08, *P*=0.35, Cued; F (2, 21) = 0.23, *P*=0.79].

Effects of SPS on 5HT3 receptor gene expression

Comparison of the expression of 5HT3A receptors in IL-mPFC of shocked and SPS versus normal rats would give us a clue about the possible underlying changes in the neurobiology of SPS-induced PTSD and can further help to interpret the behavioral results from the drugtreated animals. Analysis of the data showed that mRNA



Treatment groups

Figure 9. mRNA levels of 5HT3 receptors in the IL-mPFC. Data are presented as Mean±SEM. **** P<0.0001 as compared with the vehicle group. ### P<0.001 as compared with the shocked group SPS: Single prolonged stress

expression differs between different treated groups [F (2, 15) = 23.63, P<0.0001 (n = 6)], with the SPS rats having increased expression compared with the vehicle (P<0.0001) or shocked (P=0.0001) groups (Figure 9).

Discussion

Our main results of this study is that (I) exposure to SPS, induces an impaired extinction of fear memory in contextual and cued fear conditioning models (II) 5HT3 receptors are up-regulated in the IL-mPFC of SPS rats, and (III) blocking of 5HT3 receptors in the IL-mPFC facilitates the extinction of contextual and cued fear memories without having effects on motor activity of the animals.

In the extinction learning experiments we found that in both contextual and cued conditioning models, the SPS rats had an overall higher freezing behavior. We also found that freezing in the cued model did not change over time (no significant effect for the main factor of day in the cued model), while it was reduced in the contextual model (significant effect for the main factor of day in the contextual model) (Figures 3A, B). This indicates that SPS animals are less inclined to lose sensitivity to the fear-related cues than the contextual features in which the conditioning has taken place. In other words, it implies that the cue, as an element in the environment, maybe a superior reminder of threat than the whole context in which the animals have experienced the traumatic event. It is actually in support of the notion that in SPS exposed rats elemental learning strategy (a learning strategy in which the association of different features of the environment is encoded individually with the aversive stimuli) predominates the conjunctive learning strategy (a learning strategy in which different elements in the environment are considered a whole with which the trauma is associated) (41). It is believed that elemental strategies mostly depend on the neocortex, while conjunctive strategies mostly depend on the hippocampus (42, 43).

Having found that SPS impairs extinction learning,

IJ MS



Figure 10. Schematic representation of the suggested roles of ondansetron on enhancing the inhibitory output neurons from mPFC. Either reducing GABA-A or increasing NMDA receptor activation in some projecting neurons in mPFC augments the excitatory potential of these neurons and ultimately results in suppression of fear presentation in conditioned models. Ondansetron decreases GABA release from the inhibitory interneurons by blocking presynaptic 5HT3 receptors on these cells; it also activates NMDA receptors on the projecting neurons through blockade of their inhibitory 5HT3 receptors

we further found that microinjection of a 5HT3 blocker (ondansetron) into the IL-mPFC rescued fear extinction deficits in both cued and contextual models, and this effect was reversed by applying a 5HT3 agonist (SR 57227A) (Figure 4). The injections were made right after the training sessions. Therefore, we may conclude that 5HT3 receptors are probably involved in the consolidation phase of the extinction process. To rule out the possible effect of the shock itself, ondansetron and SR 57227A were also administered to a separate non-SPS group that received shocks, and the results indicated that there was not a significant difference for the main factors of day or shock exposure (the results are not shown). These data demonstrate that exposure to SPS is a predisposing factor for sensitivity of the animals to ondansetron.

In an early study, Yoshioka et al. (1995) showed that exposure of rats to an environment in which they had previously received foot-shocks raised the extracellular 5-HT concentration in their mPFC and led to enhancement of freezing behavior (44). They proposed that there is a relationship between mPFC levels of 5HT and anxiety state. A relevant study indicated that administration of a selective 5-HT3 agonist, 2-methylserotonin, into mPFC could reduce pyramidal neural firing. This effect was reversed by applying a 5-HT3 antagonist (45, 46). Part of the neurons in IL-mPFC innervate the central amygdala (Ce) and stimulate its output projections (47). Output neurons from the Ce are mostly GABAergic. They project to the brainstem and hypothalamic regions and inhibit autonomic or behavioral responses to fearful stimuli (48, 49). The above findings, lead us to speculate that modulation of 5HT3 receptors in mPFC is an important means by which we can manage the fear-related responses. Most cortical 5HT3 receptors are expressed on GABAergic interneurons. These interneurons play important roles in suppressing the activity of the pyramidal projecting neurons (50). Based on these findings, we can propose that ondansetron in our study acts by blockade of the

5HT3 receptors on the above-mentioned interneurons. This probably decreases GABA release and subsequently disinhibits the activity of pyramidal projecting neurons to the Ce (Figure 10). We can propose another mechanism for ondansetron in our study. Several pieces of research have demonstrated that activation of NMDA receptors, placed on the pyramidal neurons, is crucial for the extinction of fear memories (51-56). Evidence from the patch-clamp technique proved that serotonin or its agonist, SR 57227A, inhibited NMDAinduced action potentials in these neurons. It is not fully understood how these ionotropic 5HT3 receptors are coupled to NMDA receptors but it is proposed that perhaps phospholipase-C activating mechanisms are involved (57). Putting these together, we can assume that in our study, ondansetron, as opposed to the previous study, has activated NMDA receptors in ILmPFC and ultimately increased the output signals to fear-suppressing regions, like the Ce (Figure 10).

The role of the 5-HT3 receptors in extinction consolidation is further supported by the results from our PCR test. Our findings showed that 5HT3A receptors are up-regulated in IL-mPFC of SPS rats (Figure 9). Although there is a huge body of evidence regarding the role of the serotonergic system in the neurobiology of PTSD (58, 59), data on the expression of serotonin receptors is scarce. It was shown, for instance, that overexpression of 5HT1B, as a metabotropic autoreceptor in the dorsal raphe nucleus, will increase anxiety in rats (60). Murrough et al. (2011) found that severe trauma exposure reduces 5HT1B receptors in the amygdala, caudate, and the anterior cingulate cortex. Some of the trauma-exposed individuals who have reduced 5HT1B receptors do not eventually develop the PTSD disorder. Therefore, it is argued that the abnormal receptor expression does not necessarily determine the phenotype of PTSD (61). Agonists of the 5HT1A receptor are also found to be effective in the treatment of PTSD. They reduce the release of glutamate in cortical neurons. Reduced glutamate triggers the release of trophic factors in cortical and limbic regions, which induces neurogenesis and impedes neural cell death. These effects have positive outcomes in the treatment of PTSD (59, 62).

As part of the behavioral tests, we have also performed experiments to evaluate the general anxiety of the animals. In the EPM test, consistent with several other studies (63-65), our findings demonstrated that SPS rats have an elevated level of anxiety compared with the normal group (Figure 5). The total number of square crossings did not differ between the SPS and normal rats in the OF test. Therefore, the behavior of the animals in the EPM was not influenced by their locomotor activity (Figure 6). As far as we know, the effect of intra-mPFC administration of a 5HT3 blocker on general anxiety of animals has not been previously evaluated. Our data represents that contrary to its diminishing effects on conditioned fear (Figure 4), repeated microinjections of ondansetron could not alleviate anxiety in SPS rats (Figure 7). Fear and anxiety have many symptoms in common and the neuroanatomical circuits involved partially overlap (66, 67). Therefore, our results imply that 5HT3 receptors in IL-mPFC are probably not an

overlapping interface for anxiety and fear.

Conclusion

Despite extensive knowledge about the neural underpinnings of PTSD, the neurobiological basis of the disease is still poorly understood. In this study, we found that SPS-induced extinction deficits are rescued by blocking of 5-HT3 receptors in IL-mPFC. Meanwhile, SPS rats had enhanced 5HT3 expression in their IL-mPFC region. These results lead us to propose that transcriptional changes of 5HT3 receptors in ILmPFC are, at least in part, responsible for the impaired extinction of fear in PTSD subjects. It seems that treatment of the disorder with 5HT3 blockers is worth being investigated in clinical practice.

Acknowledgment

The content of this paper is extracted from the Pharm. D thesis submitted by Sara Raziee which was financially supported (Grant No. 97130) by the Deputy for Research and Technology of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article

References

1. Maddox SA, Hartmann J, Ross RA, Ressler KJ. Deconstructing the gestalt: Mechanisms of fear, threat, and trauma memory encoding. Neuron 2019; 102:60-74.

2. Shechner T, Hong M, Britton JC, Pine DS, Fox NA. Fear conditioning and extinction across development: evidence from human studies and animal models. Biol Psychol 2014; 100:1-12.

3. Kida S. Reconsolidation/destabilization, extinction and forgetting of fear memory as therapeutic targets for PTSD. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2019; 236:49-57.

4. Sotres-Bayon F, Sierra-Mercado D, Pardilla-Delgado E, Quirk GJ. Gating of fear in prelimbic cortex by hippocampal and amygdala inputs. Neuron 2012; 76:804-812.

5. Hsieh HT, Chang CH. Activation of medial orbitofrontal cortex abolishes fear extinction and interferes with fear expression in rats. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2020; 169:107170.

6. Xiao B, Han F, Shi Y. Administration of moclobemide facilitates fear extinction and attenuates anxiety-like behaviors by regulating synaptic-associated proteins in a rat model of post-traumatic stress disorder. Synapse 2019:e22146.

7. Souza RR, Robertson NM, Mathew E, Tabet MN, Bucksot JE, Pruitt DT, *et al.* Efficient parameters of vagus nerve stimulation to enhance extinction learning in an extinction-resistant rat model of PTSD. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2020; 99:109848.

8. Rauch SL, Shin LM, Phelps EA. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and extinction: human neuroimaging research--past, present, and future. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 60:376-382.

9. Hamner MB, Lorberbaum JP, George MS. Potential role of the anterior cingulate cortex in PTSD: Review and hypothesis. Depress Anxiety 1999; 9:1-14.

10. Koseki H, Matsumoto M, Togashi H, Miura Y, Fukushima K, Yoshioka M. Alteration of synaptic transmission in the hippocampal-mPFC pathway during extinction trials of context-dependent fear memory in juvenile rat stress models. Synapse 2009; 63:805-813.

11. Nachtigall EG, Furini CRG, Behling JAK, Farias CP, Izquierdo I, Myskiw JC. Facilitation of fear extinction by novelty is modulated by beta-adrenergic and 5-HT1A serotoninergic receptors in hippocampus. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2019; 166:107101.

12. Saito Y, Matsumoto M, Yanagawa Y, Hiraide S, Inoue S, Kubo Y, *et al.* Facilitation of fear extinction by the 5-HT(1A) receptor agonist tandospirone: possible involvement of dopaminergic modulation. Synapse 2013; 67:161-170.

13. Zhang G, Ásgeirsdóttir HN, Cohen SJ, Munchow AH, Barrera MP, Stackman Jr RW. Stimulation of serotonin 2A receptors facilitates consolidation and extinction of fear memory in C57BL/6J mice. Neuropharmacology 2013; 64:403-413.

14. Burghardt NS, Bush DE, McEwen BS, LeDoux JE. Acute selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors increase conditioned fear expression: blockade with a 5-HT2C receptor antagonist. Biolo Psychiatry 2007; 62:1111-1118.

15. Kondo M, Nakamura Y, Ishida Y, Yamada T, Shimada S. The 5-HT3A receptor is essential for fear extinction. Learn Mem 2014; 21:1-4.

16. Park SM, Williams CL. Contribution of serotonin type 3 receptors in the successful extinction of cued or contextual fear conditioned responses: Interactions with GABAergic signaling. Rew Neurosci 2012; 23:555-569.

17. Wu Z-M, Yang L-H, Cui R, Ni G-L, Wu F-T, Liang Y. Contribution of hippocampal 5-HT 3 receptors in hippocampal autophagy and extinction of conditioned fear responses after a single prolonged stress exposure in rats. Cell Mol Neurobiol 2017; 37:595-606.

18. de Assis Brasil ES, Guerino Furini CR, da Silva Rodrigues F, Nachtigall EG, Kielbovicz Behling JA, Saenger BF, *et al.* The blockade of the serotoninergic receptors 5-HT5A, 5-HT6 and 5-HT7 in the basolateral amygdala, but not in the hippocampus facilitate the extinction of fear memory. Behav Brain Res 2019; 372:112055.

19. Barnes NM, Hales TG, Lummis SC, Peters JA. The 5-HT3 receptor--the relationship between structure and function. Neuropharmacology 2009; 56:273-284.

20. Morales M, Wang SD. Differential composition of 5-hydroxytryptamine3 receptors synthesized in the rat CNS and peripheral nervous system. J Neurosci 2002; 22:6732-6741.

21. Kilpatrick GJ, Jones BJ, Tyers MB. Identification and distribution of 5-HT3 receptors in rat brain using radioligand binding. Nature 1987; 330:746-748.

22. Gupta D, Radhakrishnan M, Thangaraj D, Kurhe Y. Antidepressant and anti-anxiety like effects of 4i (N-(3-chloro-2-methylphenyl) quinoxalin-2-carboxamide), a novel 5-HT3 receptor antagonist in acute and chronic neurobehavioral rodent models. Eur J Pharmacol 2014; 735:59-67.

23. Gupta D, Thangaraj D, Radhakrishnan M. A novel 5HT3 antagonist 4i (N-(3-chloro-2-methylphenyl)quinoxalin-2-carboxamide) prevents diabetes-induced depressive phenotypes in mice: Modulation of serotonergic system. Behav Brain Res 2016; 297:41-50.

24. Morrison TR, Ricci LA, Melloni RH, Jr. Aggression and anxiety in adolescent AAS-treated hamsters: A role for 5HT3 receptors. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2015; 134:85-91.

25. Bhatnagar S, Sun LM, Raber J, Maren S, Julius D, Dallman MF. Changes in anxiety-related behaviors and hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal activity in mice lacking the 5-HT-3A receptor. Physiol Behav 2004; 81:545-555.

26. Eydipour Z, Vaezi G, Nasehi M, Haeri-Rouhani SA, Zarrindast MR. Different role of CA1 5HT3 serotonin receptors on memory acquisition deficit induced by total (TSD) and REM sleep deprivation (RSD). Arch Iran Med 2017; 20:581-588. 27. Naghdi N, Harooni HE. The effect of intrahippocampal

injections of ritanserin (5HT2A/2C antagonist) and granisetron (5HT3 antagonist) on learning as assessed in the spatial version of the water maze. Behav Brain Res 2005; 157:205-210.

28. Nasehi M. The Role of hippocampal 5HT3 receptors in harmaline-induced memory deficit. Basic Clin Neurosci 2015; 6:163-170.

29. Staubli U, Xu FB. Effects of 5-HT3 receptor antagonism on hippocampal theta rhythm, memory, and LTP induction in the freely moving rat. J Neurosci 1995; 15:2445-2452.

30. Smit-Rigter LA, Wadman WJ, van Hooft JA. Impaired Social Behavior in 5-HT(3A) Receptor Knockout Mice. Front Behav Neurosci 2010; 4:1-9.

31.Giustino TF, Maren S. The role of the medial prefrontal cortex in the conditioning and extinction of fear. Front Behav Neurosci 2015; 9:1-20.

32. Puig MV, Santana N, Celada P, Mengod G, Artigas F. *In vivo* excitation of GABA interneurons in the medial prefrontal cortex through 5-HT3 receptors. Cereb Cortex 2004; 14:1365-1375.

33. Bhatnagar S, Nowak N, Babich L, Bok L. Deletion of the 5-HT3 receptor differentially affects behavior of males and females in the Porsolt forced swim and defensive withdrawal tests. Behav Brain Res 2004; 153:527-535.

34. Kelley SP, Bratt AM, Hodge CW. Targeted gene deletion of the 5-HT3A receptor subunit produces an anxiolytic phenotype in mice. Eur J Pharmacol 2003; 461:19-25.

35. Niesler B, Flohr T, Nothen MM, Fischer C, Rietschel M, Franzek E, *et al.* Association between the 5' UTR variant C178T of the serotonin receptor gene HTR3A and bipolar affective disorder. Pharmacogenetics 2001; 11:471-475.

36. Lisieski MJ, Eagle AL, Conti AC, Liberzon I, Perrine SA. Single-Prolonged Stress: A review of two decades of progress in a rodent model of post-traumatic stress disorder. Front Psychiatry 2018; 9:1-22.

37. Paxinos G, Watson C. Paxino's and Watson's The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates. Seventh edition. ed. Amsterdam ; Boston: Elsevier/AP, Academic Press is an imprint of Elsevier; 2014.

38. Kumari P, Kauser H, Wadhwa M, Roy K, Alam S, Sahu S, *et al.* Hypobaric hypoxia impairs cued and contextual fear memory in rats. Brain Res 2018; 1692:118-133.

39. Salehabadi S, Abrari K, Elahdadi Salmani M, Nasiri M, Lashkarbolouki T. Investigating the role of the amygdala orexin receptor 1 in memory acquisition and extinction in a rat model of PTSD. Behav Brain Res 2020; 384:112455.

40. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 2001; 25:402-408.

41. Acheson DT, Gresack JE, Risbrough VB. Hippocampal dysfunction effects on context memory: possible etiology for posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropharmacology 2012; 62:674-685.

42. Rudy JW, Barrientos RM, O'Reilly RC. Hippocampal formation supports conditioning to memory of a context. Behav Neurosci 2002; 116:530-538.

43. Rudy JW, Huff NC, Matus-Amat P. Understanding contextual fear conditioning: insights from a two-process model. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2004; 28:675-685.

44. Yoshioka M, Matsumoto M, Togashi H, Saito H. Effects of conditioned fear stress on 5-HT release in the rat prefrontal cortex. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1995; 51:515-519.

45. Ashby CR, Jr., Edwards E, Harkins K, Wang RY. Characterization of 5-hydroxytryptamine3 receptors in the medial prefrontal cortex: a microiontophoretic study. Eur J Pharmacol 1989; 173:193-196.

46. Ashby CR, Jr, Edwards E, Wang RY. Action of serotonin in

the medial prefrontal cortex: mediation by serotonin3-like receptors. Synapse 1992; 10:7-15.

47. McDonald AJ, Mascagni F, Guo L. Projections of the medial and lateral prefrontal cortices to the amygdala: a Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin study in the rat. Neuroscience 1996; 71:55-75.

48. De Oca BM, DeCola JP, Maren S, Fanselow MS. Distinct regions of the periaqueductal gray are involved in the acquisition and expression of defensive responses. J Neurosci 1998; 18:3426-3432.

49. LeDoux JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ. Different projections of the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral correlates of conditioned fear. J Neurosci 1988; 8:2517-2529.

50. Morales M, Bloom FE. The 5-HT3 receptor is present in different subpopulations of GABAergic neurons in the rat telencephalon. J Neurosci 1997; 17:3157-3167.

51. Ebrahimi C, Gechter J, Lueken U, Schlagenhauf F, Wittchen HU, Hamm AO, *et al.* Correction: Augmenting extinction learning with D-cycloserine reduces return of fear: a randomized, placebo-controlled fMRI study. Neuropsychopharmacology 2020; 45: 499-506.

52. Ebrahimi C, Gechter J, Lueken U, Schlagenhauf F, Wittchen HU, Hamm AO, *et al.* Augmenting extinction learning with D-cycloserine reduces return of fear: A randomized, placebo-controlled fMRI study. Neuropsychopharmacology 2020; 45:499-506.

53. Falls WA, Miserendino MJ, Davis M. Extinction of fearpotentiated startle: blockade by infusion of an NMDA antagonist into the amygdala. J Neurosci 1992; 12:854-863.

54. Radulovic J, Ren LY, Gao C. N-Methyl D-aspartate receptor subunit signaling in fear extinction. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2019; 236:239-250.

55. Walker DL, Ressler KJ, Lu KT, Davis M. Facilitation of conditioned fear extinction by systemic administration or intra-amygdala infusions of D-cycloserine as assessed with fear-potentiated startle in rats. J Neurosci 2002; 22:2343-2351.

56. Burgos-Robles A, Vidal-Gonzalez I, Santini E, Quirk GJ. Consolidation of fear extinction requires NMDA receptordependent bursting in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. Neuron 2007; 53:871-880.

57. Liang X, Arvanov VL, Wang RY. Inhibition of NMDA-receptor mediated response in the rat medial prefrontal cortical pyramidal cells by the 5-HT3 receptor agonist SR 57227A and 5-HT: intracellular studies. Synapse 1998; 29:257-268.

58. Bailey CR, Cordell E, Sobin SM, Neumeister A. Recent progress in understanding the pathophysiology of post-traumatic stress disorder: implications for targeted pharmacological treatment. CNS Drugs 2013; 27:221-232.

59. Krystal JH, Neumeister A. Noradrenergic and serotonergic mechanisms in the neurobiology of posttraumatic stress disorder and resilience. Brain Res 2009; 1293:13-23.

60. Clark MS, Sexton TJ, McClain M, Root D, Kohen R, Neumaier JF. Overexpression of 5-HT1B receptor in dorsal raphe nucleus using Herpes Simplex Virus gene transfer increases anxiety behavior after inescapable stress. J Neurosci 2002; 22:4550-4562.

61. Murrough JW, Czermak C, Henry S, Nabulsi N, Gallezot JD, Gueorguieva R, *et al.* The effect of early trauma exposure on serotonin type 1B receptor expression revealed by reduced selective radioligand binding. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2011; 68:892-900.

62. Hoebel BG, Avena NM, Rada P. Accumbens dopamineacetylcholine balance in approach and avoidance. Curr Opin Pharmacol 2007; 7:617-627.

63. Gao ZW, Ju RL, Luo M, Wu SL, Zhang WT. The anxi-olytic-

like effects of ginsenoside Rg2 on an animal model of PTSD. Psychiatry Res 2019; 279:130-137.

64. Ji LL, Tong L, Xu BK, Fu CH, Shu W, Peng JB, *et al.* Intrahippocampal administration of ZIP alleviates depressive and anxiety-like responses in an animal model of posttraumatic stress disorder. Behav Brain Funct 2014; 10:1-10.

65. Serova LI, Tillinger A, Alaluf LG, Laukova M, Keegan K, Sabban EL. Single intranasal neuropeptide Y infusion

attenuates development of PTSD-like symptoms to traumatic stress in rats. Neuroscience 2013; 236:298-312.

66. Tovote P, Fadok JP, Luthi A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. Nat Rev Neurosci 2015; 16:317-331.

67. Davis M, Walker DL, Miles L, Grillon C. Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: Role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. Neuropsychopharmacology 2010; 35:105-135.